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- Embedding-based models build embeddings for entities and relations to predict tuples.
- Simplest case: relations between two entities. E.g., predicting the rating for a person on a movie (collaborative filtering).
- Knowledge graphs: predict subject-verb-object triples.


## Learning a relation between two entities

A relation between users and items (movies). From Movielens:

| User | Item | Rating | Timestamp |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 196 | 242 | 3 | 881250949 |
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- Movielens: multiple datasets from 100 K to 25 M ratings, with links to IMDB, plus some user properties
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A relation between users and items (movies). From Movielens:

| User | Item | Rating | Timestamp |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 196 | 242 | 3 | 881250949 |
| 186 | 302 | 3 | 891717742 |
| 22 | 377 | 1 | 878887116 |
| 244 | 51 | 2 | 880606923 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |

- Netflix: 500 K users, 17 k movies, 100 M ratings (withdrawn).
- Movielens: multiple datasets from 100K to 25M ratings, with links to IMDB, plus some user properties
$\widehat{r_{u i}}=$ predicted rating of user $u$ on item $i$
Es $=$ set of $(u, i, r)$ tuples in the training set (ignoring timestamp) Minimize sum squares error:

$$
\sum_{(u, i, r) \in E s}\left(\widehat{r_{u i}}-r\right)^{2}
$$
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- Predict same for all ratings: $\widehat{r_{u i}}=\mu$
- Adjust for each user and item: $\widehat{r_{u i}}=\mu+b_{1}[u]+b_{2}[i]$ Question: $b_{1}[u]$ could be negative even though all ratings of $u$ are higher that average. How?
- Question: How can this be used to personalize recommendations?
- One latent feature: $f_{i}$ for each item and $g_{u}$ for each user

$$
\widehat{r_{u i}}=\mu+b_{1}[u]+b_{2}[i]+f_{1}[u] * f_{2}[i]
$$

- Positive $f_{1}[u]$ forms a soft clustering of users.
- Positive $f_{2}[i]$ forms a soft clustering of items.
- How do these clusterings interact?
- What about negative values?


## What is being learned? (Single latent feature)

for each $(u, i, r): r$ is plotted at point $\left(f_{1}[u], f_{2}[i]\right)$.

aipython: relnCollFilt.py

## What is being learned? (Single latent feature)

for each $(u, i, r): r$ is plotted at point $\left(f_{1}[u], f_{2}[i]\right)$.

aipython: relnCollFilt.py
What pattern would you expect?
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- $k$ latent features (Python notation):

$$
\widehat{r_{u i}}=\mu+b_{1}[u]+b_{2}[i]+\sum_{f} E_{1}[u][f] * E_{2}[i][f]
$$

$E_{1}[u]$ is the user embedding, a vector of numbers.
$E_{2}[i]$ is the item embedding, a vector of numbers.

- Regularize parameters except $\mu$.


## Regularizing

- L2 regularization, minimize:

$$
\left(\sum_{(u, i, r) \in E s}\left(\widehat{r_{u i}}-r\right)^{2}\right)+\lambda \sum_{\text {parameter } p} p^{2}
$$

## L2 regularization

Minimize:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{(u, i, r) \in E s}\left(\mu+b_{1}[u]+b_{2}[i]+\sum_{k} E_{1}[u][f] * E_{2}[i][f]-r\right)^{2}\right) \\
& +\lambda\left(\sum_{i}\left(b_{1}[u]^{2}+\sum_{f} E_{1}[u][f]^{2}\right)+\sum_{u}\left(b_{2}[i]^{2}+\sum_{f} E_{2}[i][f]^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a regularization parameter.

## L2 regularization

Minimize:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{(u, i, r) \in E s}\left(\mu+b_{1}[u]+b_{2}[i]+\sum_{k} E_{1}[u][f] * E_{2}[i][f]-r\right)^{2}\right) \\
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where $\lambda$ is a regularization parameter.

To find minimizing parameters:

- Gradient descent
- Iterative least squares: fix one of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$; the problem is ridge regression in the other.
$\mu:=$ average rating assign $E_{1}[u][f], E_{2}[i][f]$ randomly and assign $b_{1}[i], b_{2}[u]$ arbitrarily repeat: for each $(u, i, r) \in E s$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e:=\mu+b_{1}[i]+b_{2}[u]+\sum_{k} E_{1}[u][f] * E_{2}[i][f]-r \\
& b_{1}[i]:=b_{1}[i]-\eta * e \\
& b_{2}[u]:=b_{2}[u]-\eta * e
\end{aligned}
$$

for each feature $f$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}[u][f]:=E_{1}[u][f]-\eta * e * E_{2}[i][f] \\
& E_{2}[i][f]:=E_{2}[i][f]-\eta * e * E_{1}[u][f]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mu:=$ average rating
assign $E_{1}[u][f], E_{2}[i][f]$ randomly and assign $b_{1}[i], b_{2}[u]$ arbitrarily

## repeat:

for each $(u, i, r) \in E s$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e:=\mu+b_{1}[i]+b_{2}[u]+\sum_{k} E_{1}[u][f] * E_{2}[i][f]-r \\
& b_{1}[i]:=b_{1}[i]-\eta * e \\
& b_{2}[u]:=b_{2}[u]-\eta * e
\end{aligned}
$$

for each feature $f$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}[u][f]:=E_{1}[u][f]-\eta * e * E_{2}[i][f] \\
& E_{2}[i][f]:=E_{2}[i][f]-\eta * e * E_{1}[u][f]
\end{aligned}
$$

for each item $i$ :

$$
b_{1}[i]:=b_{1}[i]-\eta * \lambda * b_{1}[i]
$$

for each feature $f$ :

$$
E_{1}[u][f]:=E_{1}[u][f]-\eta * \lambda * E_{1}[u][f]
$$

for each user $u$ :

$$
b_{2}[u]:=b_{2}[u]-\eta * \lambda * b_{2}[u]
$$

for each feature $k$ :

$$
E_{2}[i][f]:=E_{2}[i][f]-\eta * \lambda * E_{2}[i][f]
$$
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## Variations

- What is you want to predict Boolean rating > 3?
- Use sigmoid.
- What should we minimize?
- How does the algorithm change?
- What if we want to predict rated, where $\operatorname{rated}(u, i)$ is true if $(u, i, r) \in$ Es for some $r$ ?
- There are no negative examples!
- Use $k$ random examples for each positve example! but the average probability is $1 / k$, which is not derived from the data.
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## Knowledge Graphs and Triples

- A knowledge graph is defined in term of triples of the form $(s, r, o)$, with subject $s$, relation (verb) $r$, and object $o$
- The extension of matrix factorization to triples is polyadic decomposition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{p}((s, r, o))=\operatorname{sigmoid}\left(\mu+b_{1}[s]+b_{2}[r]+b_{3}[o]\right. \\
&\left.\sum_{f} E_{1}[s][f] * E_{2}[r][f] * E_{3}[o][f]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- a global bias $\mu$
- two biases for each entity e: $b_{1}[e]$ used when $e$ is in the first position and $b_{3}[e]$, for when $e$ in third position
- a bias for each relation $r$, namely $b_{2}[r]$
- matrixes $E_{1}$ and $E_{3}$
$E_{1}[e]$ is subject embedding for entity $e \longrightarrow$ latent properties $E_{3}[e]$, is object embedding entity $e$
- matrix $E_{2}$, where $E_{2}[r]$ is relation embedding for relation $r$. All embeddings $E_{1}[e], E_{2}[r]$ and $E_{3}[e]$ are the same length.
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## Learning Polyadic Decomposition

- To optimize log loss with L2 regularization: same as previous algorithm with a different predictor and more parameters to tune and regularize.
- Requires negative examples, but knowledge graphs don't have negative examples.
- Regularize $\mu$ or provide made-up negative examples.
- But, not all relations have same number of negative examples, eg. "married-to" vs "has-streamed".
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## Improving Polyadic Decomposition

- Suppose triples are of the form ( $u$, likes, $m$ ) and ( $m$, directed_by, d).
How can we represent "Sam likes movies directed by Bong Joon-ho"?
The subject and object embeddings for movies are independent of each other, so this cannot be represented or learned.
- Solution: also represent $\left(m\right.$, likes $\left.^{-1}, u\right)$ and ( $d$, directed_by ${ }^{-1}, m$ ).
- polyadic decomposition with inverses:

$$
\widehat{p}(h, r, t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\widehat{p d}(h, r, t)+\widehat{p d}\left(t, r^{-1}, h\right)\right)
$$

where $\widehat{p d}$ is the prediction from the polyadic decomposition.
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## What does polyadic decomposition learn?

- The polyadic decomposition is fully expressive: it can represent (with error less than any $\epsilon$ ), any relation.
- Initially assume subject and object embeddings are non-negative and bounded.
- Each embedding position in the subject/object embedding forms a soft clustering of entities.
- For each embedding position, a relation with a high value $(\gg 0)$ in that position, the entities in the subject soft cluster are related to the entities in the object soft cluster. (The product is only high when all three are high).
- A relation with a value $\ll 0$ in a position forms exceptions.
- For possibly negative subject and object embeddings: product of even number of negative values is positive product of odd number of negative values is negative
- The addition lets these values be combined.
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## What does polyadic decomposition learn?

- The polyadic decomposition makes predictions by clustering entities in different ways.
- It learns about each entity; embeddings are used to predict interactions.
- It does not learn general knowledge that can be applied to other populations.

