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## On-policy Learning

- Q-learning does off-policy learning: it learns the value of an optimal policy, no matter what it does.
- This could be bad if the exploration policy is dangerous.
- On-policy learning learns the value of the policy being followed.
e.g., act greedily $80 \%$ of the time and act randomly $20 \%$ of the time
- Why? If the agent is actually going to explore, it may be better to optimize the actual policy it is going to do.
- SARSA uses the experience $\left\langle s, a, r, s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right\rangle$ to update $Q[s, a]$.


## SARSA

initialize $Q[S, A]$ arbitrarily
observe current state $s$
select action a
repeat forever:
carry out action a observe reward $r$ and state $s^{\prime}$
select action $a^{\prime}$ using a policy based on $Q$
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## SARSA

initialize $Q[S, A]$ arbitrarily
observe current state $s$
select action a
repeat forever:
carry out action a
observe reward $r$ and state $s^{\prime}$
select action $a^{\prime}$ using a policy based on $Q$
$Q[s, a]:=Q[s, a]+\alpha\left(r+\gamma Q\left[s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right]-Q[s, a]\right)$
$s:=s^{\prime}$
$a:=a^{\prime}$
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repeat forever:
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$$
\begin{aligned}
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## Q-learning with Action Replay

initialize $Q[S, A]$ arbitrarily
$E=\{ \}$
observe current state $s$
select action a
repeat forever:
carry out action a
observe reward $r$ and state $s^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E:=E \cup\left\{\left\langle s, a, r, s^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\} \\
& Q[s, a]:=Q[s, a]+\alpha\left(r+\gamma \max _{a^{\prime}} Q\left[s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right]-Q[s, a]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

repeat for a while:
select $\left\langle s_{1}, a_{1}, r_{1}, s_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle \in E$
$Q\left[s_{1}, a_{1}\right]:=Q\left[s_{1}, a_{1}\right]+\alpha\left(r_{1}+\gamma \max _{a_{1}^{\prime}} Q\left[s_{1}^{\prime}, a_{1}^{\prime}\right]-Q\left[s_{1}, a_{1}\right]\right)$
$s:=s^{\prime}$
$a:=a^{\prime}$
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## Model-based Reinforcement Learning

- Model-based reinforcement learning uses the experiences in a more effective manner.
- It is used when collecting experiences is expensive (e.g., in a robot or an online game); an agent can do lots of computation between each experience.
- Idea: learn the MDP and interleave acting and planning.
- After each experience, update probabilities and the reward, then do some steps of asynchronous value iteration.
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select and carry out action a
observe reward $r$ and state $s^{\prime}$
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\begin{aligned}
& T\left[s, a, s^{\prime}\right]:=T\left[s, a, s^{\prime}\right]+1 \\
& C[s, a]:=C[s, a]+1 \\
& R[s, a]:=R[s, a]+(r-R[s, a]) / C[s, a]
\end{aligned}
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repeat for a while:
select state $s_{1}$, action $a_{1}$
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\end{aligned}
$$

repeat for a while:
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What goes wrong with this?
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## Reinforcement Learning with Features

- Usually we don't want to reason in terms of states, but in terms of features.
- In state-based methods, information about one state cannot be used by similar states.
- If there are too many parameters to learn, it takes too long.
- Idea: Express the value (Q) function as a function of the features. Most typical is a linear function of the features, or a neural network.


## Reinforcement Learning

- flat or modular or hierarchical
- explicit states or features or individuals and relations
- static or finite stage or indefinite stage or infinite stage
- fully observable or partially observable
- deterministic or stochastic dynamics
- goals or complex preferences
- single agent or multiple agents
- knowledge is given or knowledge is learned
- perfect rationality or bounded rationality


## SARSA with Generalization

1: controller SARSA_with_Generalization(Learner, $\gamma$ )
2: Inputs
3: $\quad$ Learner with operations Learner.add $(x, y)$ and Learner.predict( $x$ ).
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## SARSA with Generalization

1: controller SARSA_with_Generalization(Learner, $\gamma$ )
2: Inputs
3: $\quad$ Learner with operations Learner.add $(x, y)$ and Learner.predict $(x)$.
4: $\quad \gamma \in[0,1]$ : discount factor
5: observe current state $s$
6: select action a
7: repeat
8: $\quad d o(a)$
9: observe reward $r$ and state $s^{\prime}$
10: $\quad$ select action $a^{\prime}$ based on Learner.predict $\left(\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)$
11 Learner.add $\left((s, a), r+\gamma *\right.$ Learner.predict $\left.\left(\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)$

## SARSA with Generalization

1: controller SARSA_with_Generalization(Learner, $\gamma$ )

2:
3: Inputs

Learner with operations Learner.add( $x, y$ ) and Learner.predict( $x$ ).
4: $\quad \gamma \in[0,1]$ : discount factor
5: observe current state $s$
6: select action a
7: repeat
8: $\quad d o(a)$
9:
10
11:
12:
13:
14: until termination
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## Review: Gradient descent

To find a (local) minimum of a real-valued function $f(x)$ :

- assign an arbitrary value to $x$
- repeat

$$
x:=x-\eta \frac{d f}{d x}
$$

where $\eta$ is the step size
To find a local minimum of real-valued function $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ :

- assign arbitrary values to $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$
- repeat:
for each $x_{i}$

$$
x_{i}:=x_{i}-\eta \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}
$$

## Review: Linear Regression

- A linear function of variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
f^{\bar{w}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=w_{0}+w_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+w_{n} x_{n} \\
\bar{w}=\left\langle w_{0}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\rangle \text { are weights. }\left(\text { Let } x_{0}=1\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

- Given a set $E$ of examples.

Example $e$ has input $x_{i}=e_{i}$ for each $i$ and observed value, $o_{e}$ :

$$
\operatorname{Error}_{E}(\bar{w})=\sum_{e \in E}\left(o_{e}-f^{\bar{w}}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

- Minimizing the error using gradient descent, each example should update $w_{i}$ using:

$$
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## Review: Linear Regression

- A linear function of variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
f^{\bar{w}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=w_{0}+w_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+w_{n} x_{n} \\
\bar{w}=\left\langle w_{0}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\rangle \text { are weights. }\left(\text { Let } x_{0}=1\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

- Given a set $E$ of examples.

Example $e$ has input $x_{i}=e_{i}$ for each $i$ and observed value, $o_{e}$ :

$$
\operatorname{Error}_{E}(\bar{w})=\sum_{e \in E}\left(o_{e}-f^{\bar{w}}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

- Minimizing the error using gradient descent, each example should update $w_{i}$ using:

$$
w_{i}:=w_{i}-\eta \frac{\partial \operatorname{Error}_{E}(\bar{w})}{\partial w_{i}}
$$

## Review: Gradient Descent for Linear Regression

Given $E$ : set of examples over $n$ features each example $e$ has inputs $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ and output $o_{e}$ :
Assign weights $\bar{w}=\left\langle w_{0}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\rangle$ arbitrarily
repeat:
For each example $e$ in $E$ :

$$
\text { let } \delta=o_{e}-f^{\bar{w}}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)
$$

For each weight $w_{i}$ :

$$
w_{i}:=w_{i}+\eta \delta e_{i}
$$
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- One step backup provides the examples that can be used in a linear regression.
- Suppose $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$ are the features of the state and the action.
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## SARSA with linear function approximation

- One step backup provides the examples that can be used in a linear regression.
- Suppose $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$ are the features of the state and the action.
- So $Q_{\bar{w}}(s, a)=w_{0}+w_{1} F_{1}(s, a)+\cdots+w_{n} F_{n}(s, a)$
- An experience $\left\langle s, a, r, s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right\rangle$ provides the "example":
- old predicted value: $Q_{\bar{w}}(s, a)$
- new "observed" value: $r+\gamma Q_{\bar{w}}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)$
- Treat $r+\gamma Q_{\bar{w}}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)$ as a new training example for $Q(s, a)$ in linear regression (or other supervised learning algorithm).
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## SARSA with linear function approximation

Given $\gamma$ :discount factor; $\eta$ :step size
Assign weights $\bar{w}=\left\langle w_{0}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\rangle$ arbitrarily observe current state $s$
select action a
repeat forever:
carry out action a
observe reward $r$ and state $s^{\prime}$
select action $a^{\prime}$ (using a policy based on $Q_{\bar{w}}$ )
let $\delta=r+\gamma Q_{\bar{w}}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)-Q_{\bar{w}}(s, a)$
For $i=0$ to $n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{i}:=w_{i}+\eta \delta F_{i}(s, a) \\
& s:= s^{\prime} \\
& a:=a^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Monster Game



## Example Features

- $F_{1}(s, a)=1$ if a goes from state $s$ into a monster location and is 0 otherwise.
- $F_{2}(s, a)=1$ if a goes into a wall, is 0 otherwise.
- $F_{3}(s, a)=1$ if a goes toward a prize.
- $F_{4}(s, a)=1$ if the agent is damaged in state $s$ and action $a$ takes it toward the repair station.
- $F_{5}(s, a)=1$ if the agent is damaged and action a goes into a monster location.
- $F_{6}(s, a)=1$ if the agent is damaged.
- $F_{7}(s, a)=1$ if the agent is not damaged.
- $F_{8}(s, a)=1$ if the agent is damaged and there is a prize in direction $a$.
- $F_{9}(s, a)=1$ if the agent is not damaged and there is a prize in direction $a$.


## Example Features

- $F_{10}(s, a)$ is the distance from the left wall if there is a prize at location $P_{0}$, and is 0 otherwise.
- $F_{11}(s, a)$ has the value $4-x$, where $x$ is the horizontal position of state $s$ if there is a prize at location $P_{0}$; otherwise is 0 .
- $F_{12}(s, a)$ to $F_{29}(s, a)$ are like $F_{10}$ and $F_{11}$ for different combinations of the prize location and the distance from each of the four walls.
For the case where the prize is at location $P_{0}$, the $y$-distance could take into account the wall.
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## Problems and Variants of function approximation

- This algorithm tends to overfit to current experiences. "Catastrophic forgetting".
Solution: remember old $\left\langle s, a, r, s^{\prime}\right\rangle$ experiences and to carry out some steps of action replay
- Different function approximations, such as
- a decision tree with a linear function at the leaves (regression tree)
- a neural network
could be used, but they requires a representation of the states and actions.
- Use the policy to do more than one-step lookahead (better estimate of $\left.Q\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
For example, compute expected value by generating samples of the rest of a game.
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## Evolutionary Algorithms

- In state-based MDPs and reinforcement learning, all local optima are global optima.
- With function approximation, MDP/LR algorithms can get stuck in local optima that can be arbitrarily worse that global optima
- Evolutionary algorithms can help escape local optima
- Idea:
- maintain a population of controllers (e.g., SARSA with function approximation
- evaluate each controller by running it in the environment
- at each generation, the best controllers are combined to form a new population of controllers
- Performance is sensitive to representation of controller, and ways to combine them.

