Q[s, a] does not specify what an agent should do.

< 🗆 .

• exploit what it has found to get higher rewards. In state *s*,

exploit what it has found to get higher rewards.
 In state s, it can do an action a that maximizes Q[s, a].

- exploit what it has found to get higher rewards.
 In state s, it can do an action a that maximizes Q[s, a].
- explore to build a better estimate of the *Q*-function It could

- exploit what it has found to get higher rewards.
 In state s, it can do an action a that maximizes Q[s, a].
- explore to build a better estimate of the *Q*-function It could select an action at random at each time.

- exploit what it has found to get higher rewards.
 In state s, it can do an action a that maximizes Q[s, a].
- explore to build a better estimate of the *Q*-function It could select an action at random at each time.

The theoretical properties of the exploration-exploitation tradeoff are often studied in for bandits.

(A one-armed bandit is slot-machine / poker-machine.)

Each machine has its own distribution of payouts.

The action is to choose which machine to play;

- the agent repeatedly chooses an action from the same state.

• optimism in the face of uncertainty: initialize Q to values that encourage exploration, meaning

• optimism in the face of uncertainty: initialize *Q* to values that encourage exploration, meaning use an overestimate of Q-function.

- - L

- optimism in the face of uncertainty: initialize *Q* to values that encourage exploration, meaning use an overestimate of Q-function.
 - Takes a long time to converge.
 - If actions are stochastic, a good action could get a bad outcome at random, and then it is never selected again.

- optimism in the face of uncertainty: initialize *Q* to values that encourage exploration, meaning use an overestimate of Q-function.
 - Takes a long time to converge.
 - If actions are stochastic, a good action could get a bad outcome at random, and then it is never selected again.
- ϵ -greedy strategy: choose random action with probability ϵ choose a best action with probability 1ϵ .

- optimism in the face of uncertainty: initialize *Q* to values that encourage exploration, meaning use an overestimate of Q-function.
 - Takes a long time to converge.
 - If actions are stochastic, a good action could get a bad outcome at random, and then it is never selected again.
- ϵ -greedy strategy: choose random action with probability ϵ choose a best action with probability 1ϵ . Problem:

- optimism in the face of uncertainty: initialize *Q* to values that encourage exploration, meaning use an overestimate of Q-function.
 - Takes a long time to converge.
 - If actions are stochastic, a good action could get a bad outcome at random, and then it is never selected again.
- ϵ -greedy strategy: choose random action with probability ϵ choose a best action with probability 1ϵ . Problem:
 - Very bad actions get selected as much as promising actions that are not maximal.

Actions with a higher Q-value are more likely to be selected.
 Softmax action selection: in state s, choose a with probability

$$\frac{e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}}{\sum_{a} e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}}$$

where $\tau > 0$ is a *temperature*.

Actions with a higher Q-value are more likely to be selected.
 Softmax action selection: in state s, choose a with probability

$$\frac{e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}}{\sum_{a} e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}}$$

where $\tau > 0$ is a *temperature*.

• How much more likely is a to be chosen than a'?

Actions with a higher Q-value are more likely to be selected.
 Softmax action selection: in state s, choose a with probability

$$\frac{e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}}{\sum_{a} e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}}$$

where $\tau > 0$ is a *temperature*.

• How much more likely is a to be chosen than a'?

$$\frac{P(a \text{ is selected})}{P(a' \text{ is selected})} = \frac{e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}}{e^{Q[s,a']/\tau}}$$
$$= e^{(Q[s,a]-Q[s,a'])/\tau}$$
$$= (e^{1/\tau})^{(Q[s,a]-Q[s,a'])/\tau}$$

3/8

Actions with a higher Q-value are more likely to be selected.
 Softmax action selection: in state s, choose a with probability

$$\frac{e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}}{\sum_{a} e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}}$$

where $\tau > 0$ is a *temperature*.

• How much more likely is a to be chosen than a'?

$$\frac{P(a \text{ is selected})}{P(a' \text{ is selected})} = \frac{e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}}{e^{Q[s,a']/\tau}}$$

= $e^{(Q[s,a]-Q[s,a'])/\tau}$
= $(e^{1/\tau})^{(Q[s,a]-Q[s,a'])}$
 $\frac{\tau}{10} \frac{e^{1/\tau}}{1.105}$
1 2.718
0.1 22026.5

3/8

Upper Confidence Bound

• Softmax selection doesn't take into account how many times an action has been tried, which affects how good the *Q* estimate is.

Upper Confidence Bound

- Softmax selection doesn't take into account how many times an action has been tried, which affects how good the *Q* estimate is.
- The upper confidence bound is an estimate of the expected value such that it is be very unlikely that the actual value is greater than this.

Upper Confidence Bound

- Softmax selection doesn't take into account how many times an action has been tried, which affects how good the *Q* estimate is.
- The upper confidence bound is an estimate of the expected value such that it is be very unlikely that the actual value is greater than this.
- The upper confidence bound UCB1 is:

$$UCB1(s, a) = Q[s, a] + C * \sqrt{\frac{\log N(s)}{N[s, a]}}$$

where

- N[s, a] is how many times action a has been selected in state s
 N(s) = ∑_a N[s, a] is how many times state s has been visited.
- C is a constant that depends on the magnitude of the Q-values. If the values are all in range [0,1], then $C = \sqrt{2}$ has good theoretical properties
- A agent chooses action a with the highest UCB1(s, a) value.

4/8

Thompson sampling

• In Thompson sampling, the agent selects a value from the posterior distribution of the *Q*-values.

Thompson sampling

- In Thompson sampling, the agent selects a value from the posterior distribution of the *Q*-values.
- If the values are all 0 or 1 (e.g., win/loss), sample from the beta-distribution.

Thompson sampling

- In Thompson sampling, the agent selects a value from the posterior distribution of the *Q*-values.
- If the values are all 0 or 1 (e.g., win/loss), sample from the beta-distribution.
- If the return is a real number, you could assume the distribution is a Gaussian, parameterized by the mean and the variance. For each state, choose the action *a* that maximizes

$$Q[s,a] + C * \frac{randn()}{\sqrt{N[s,a]}}$$

where randn() returns a random number using the standard normal distribution (mean is 0, variance is 1). *C* is chosen to reflect the scale of the *Q*-values.

< □

- Use a stochastic policy $\pi(a \mid s)$
- $V^{\pi}(s) =$

6/8

• Use a stochastic policy $\pi(a \mid s)$

•
$$V^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) Q^{\pi}(s, a)$$

- Use a stochastic policy $\pi(a \mid s)$
- $V^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) Q^{\pi}(s, a)$
- For an MDP, a stochastic policy is optimal if and only if all of the actions with a non-zero probability for a state have the same Q-value for that state, and that value is higher than the Q-value for any other action.

- Use a stochastic policy $\pi(a \mid s)$
- $V^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{a} \pi(a \mid s) Q^{\pi}(s, a)$
- For an MDP, a stochastic policy is optimal if and only if all of the actions with a non-zero probability for a state have the same Q-value for that state, and that value is higher than the Q-value for any other action.
- How to update distribution given feedback? See Chapter 14.



Each algorithm stops exploring at 100,000 steps.

< □



Each algorithm stops exploring at 100,000 steps.

Alternative #1: plot mean reward received, but



Each algorithm stops exploring at 100,000 steps.

• Alternative #1: plot mean reward received, but it is noisy

< □



Each algorithm stops exploring at 100,000 steps.

- Alternative #1: plot mean reward received, but it is noisy
- Alternative #2: plot discounted reward for each time step, but

7/8



Each algorithm stops exploring at 100,000 steps.

- Alternative #1: plot mean reward received, but it is noisy
- Alternative #2: plot discounted reward for each time step, but it can only be evaluated in retrospect.