Main approaches to determine posterior distributions in graphical models, depending on guarantees:

- Exact inference: exploit the structure of the network to eliminate (sum out) the non-observed, non-query variables one at a time (recursive conditioning, variable elimination).
- Guaranteed bounds of the conditional probabilities from above and below, where bound becomes narrower with more computation.
- Probabilistic bounds, e.g., within 0.1 of the correct answer 95% of the time. Stochastic simulation: random cases are generated according to the probability distributions.
- Best effort to produce an approximation that may be good enough. Variational methods: find the closest tractable distribution to the target (posterior) distribution.

< □

• To compute the posterior probability of query *Q* given evidence *E* = *e*:

$$P(Q \mid E = e)$$

$$= \frac{P(Q, E = e)}{P(E = e)}$$

$$= \frac{P(Q, E = e)}{\sum_{Q} P(Q, E = e)}$$

summing over the values of variable ${\it Q}$

- So the computation reduces to the probability of P(Q, E = e)
- then normalize at the end.

Probability of a conjunction

- The variables of the belief network are X_1, \ldots, X_n .
- The evidence is $Y_1 = v_1, \ldots, Y_j = v_j$
- To compute $P(Q, Y_1 = v_1, \ldots, Y_j = v_j)$: add the other variables, $Z_1, \ldots, Z_k = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\} - \{Q\} - \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_j\}$. and sum them out.
- Order the Z_i into an elimination ordering.

$$P(Q, Y_1 = v_1, ..., Y_j = v_j) = \sum_{Z_k} \cdots \sum_{Z_1} P(X_1, ..., X_n) Y_1 = v_1, ..., Y_j = v_j.$$

=
$$\sum_{Z_k} \cdots \sum_{Z_1} \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \mid parents(X_i)) Y_1 = v_1, ..., Y_j = v_j.$$

Image: Ima

Query P(D).

$$P(D) = \sum_{A} \sum_{B} \sum_{C} P(A, B, C, D)$$
$$= \sum_{A} \sum_{B} \sum_{C} P(A)P(B \mid A)P(C \mid B)P(D \mid C)$$

Can be simplified to:

$$P(D) = \sum_{A} P(A) \sum_{B} P(B \mid A) \sum_{C} P(C \mid B) P(D \mid C)$$

< □

Example: different elimination ordering



Query P(D).

$$P(D) = \sum_{C} \sum_{B} \sum_{A} P(A, B, C, D)$$

=
$$\sum_{C} \sum_{B} \sum_{A} P(A)P(B \mid A)P(C \mid B)P(D \mid C)$$

=
$$\sum_{C} P(D \mid C) \sum_{B} P(C \mid B) \sum_{A} P(A)P(B \mid A)$$

Naive Search Algorithm

• Computes the value of summing variables from a product of factors

Input:

- Con a context an assignment of a value to some of the variables
- Fs a set of factors (functions of variables)

Output: value summing out the unassigned variables:

$$\sum_{X_1...X_k}\prod Fs$$

where $X_1 \dots X_k$ are variables not assigned in *Con*

- Evaluate a factor as soon as all its variables are assigned
- Recursively branch on a variable not assigned in Con
- Intially: *Con* is observations, and an assignment to the query variable, *Fs* is all the factors.

6/16

Probabilistic Inference using Depth-first search

```
1: procedure prob_dfs(Con : context, Fs : factors)
 2:
       if Fs = \{\} then
           return 1
 3
       else if f \in Fs can be evaluated in Con then
 4:
           return eval(f, Con) * prob_dfs(Con, Fs \setminus \{f\})
 5:
       else
 6:
 7:
           select variable X in not assigned in Con
           sum := 0
8.
           for val in domain(X) do
9:
               sum := sum + prob_dfs(Con \cup \{X = val\}, Fs)
10:
11:
           return sum
```

< 🗆 .



$$P(D) = \sum_{C} P(D \mid C) \sum_{B} P(C \mid B) \sum_{A} P(A)P(B \mid A)$$



© 2023 D. L. Poole and A. K. Mackworth Artificial Intelligence 3e, Lecture 9.5

8/16

 $P(B \mid d)$

First split on B (to compute the normalizing constant):

$$prob_dfs(\{B=false, D=true\}, \\ \{P(D \mid C), P(C \mid B), P(B \mid A), P(A)\})$$

This can be decomposed into two independent problems:

$$prob_dfs(\{B=false, D=true\}, \{P(D \mid C), P(C \mid B)\})$$

* prob_dfs(\{B=false, D=true\}, \{P(B \mid A), P(A)\})

< □

Inference via factorization in graphical models

$$P(E \mid g) = \frac{P(E \land g)}{\sum_{E} P(E \land g)}$$

$$P(E \land g) = \sum_{F} \sum_{B} \sum_{C} \sum_{A} \sum_{D} P(A)P(B \mid AC)$$

$$P(C)P(D \mid C)P(E \mid B)P(F \mid E)P(g \mid ED)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{F} P(F \mid E)\right)$$

$$\sum_{B} P(E \mid B) \sum_{C} \left(P(C) \left(\sum_{A} P(A)P(B \mid AC)\right)$$

$$\left(\sum_{D} P(D \mid C)P(g \mid ED)\right)\right)$$

10/16

Adds to naive search:

- Recognize when an assignment decomposes the problem into independent subproblems.
- Cache already computed values. The cache is checked before evaluating any query.

• Computes sum from outside in

Input:

- Context assignment of values to variables
- Set of factors

Output: value of summing out other variables Outline:

- Evaluate a factor as soon as all its variables are assigned
- Cache values already computed
- Recognize disconnected components
- Recursively branch on a variable

Primitive operations for the algorithm

 cache is a global variable that maps (Con, Fs) pairs into a real value.

It is initially it has $\langle \{\}, \{\} \rangle$ mapping to 1.

- vars(F) returns the variables in factor F.
 vars(Fs) returns the variables that appear in any factor in Fs.
- *eval*(*F*, *Con*) is the value of *F* given context *Con*. It is only called when *Con* assigns values to all of the variables in *F*.
- $Fs = Fs_1 \uplus Fs_2$ is the disjoint union, meaning $Fs_1 \neq \{\}$, $Fs_2 \neq \{\}$, $Fs_1 \cap Fs_2 = \{\}$, $Fs = Fs_1 \cup Fs_2$ This step recognizes when the graph is disconnected.

Recursive Conditioning

procedure *rc*(*Con* : context, *Fs* : set of factors): if $Fs = \{\}$ return 1 if (Con, Fs) is in cache with value v Recall return v else if there is a variable in *Con* that is not in any factor in *Fs* return $rc({X = v \in Con : X \in vars(Fs)}, Fs)$ Forget else if $f \in Fs$ can be evaluated in *Con* return $eval(f, Con) \times rc(Con, Fs \setminus \{f\})$ Evaluate else if $F_s = F_{s_1} \uplus F_{s_2}$ where $vars(F_{s_1}) \cap vars(F_{s_2})$ are all assigned return $rc(Con, Fs_1) \times rc(Con, Fs_2)$ Disconnected else select variable $X \in vars(Fs) \setminus vars(Con)$ sum = 0for each $v \in domain(X)$ $sum := sum + rc(Con \cup \{X = v\}, Fs)$ add $\langle Con, Fs \rangle$ with value sum to cache Remember return sum

< 🗆 I

- How can we exploit determinism (zero probabilities)?
- How can we exploit context-specific independencies; the structure of decision trees or rules?
- How can we handle various representations of conditional probabilities / factors