By a hierarchic system, or hierarchy, I mean a system that is composed of interrelated subsystems, each of the latter being in turn hierarchic in structure until we reach some lowest level of elementary subsystem. In most systems of nature it is somewhat arbitrary as to where we leave off the partitioning and what subsystems we take as elementary. Physics makes much use of the concept of “elementary particle,” although the particles have a disconcerting tendency not to remain elementary very long . . .

Empirically a large proportion of the complex systems we observe in nature exhibit hierarchic structure. On theoretical grounds we would expect complex systems to be hierarchies in a world in which complexity had to evolve from simplicity.

— Herbert A. Simon, 1996
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You don’t need to implement an intelligent agent as:

Perception → Reasoning → Action

as three independent modules, each feeding into the next.

- It’s too slow.
- High-level strategic reasoning takes more time than the reaction time needed (e.g. to avoid obstacles).
- The output of the perception depends on what you will do with it.
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- A better architecture is a hierarchy of controllers.
- Each controller sees the controllers below it as a virtual body from which it gets percepts and sends commands.
- The lower-level controllers can
  - run much faster, and react to the world more quickly
  - deliver a simpler view of the world to the higher-level controllers.
Hierarchical Robotic System Architecture
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Functions implemented in a layer

- **memory function** `remember(memory, percept, command)`
- **command function** `do(memory, percept, command)`
- **percept function** `higher_percept(memory, percept, command)`
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- The robot has three actions: go straight, go right, go left. (Its velocity doesn’t change).
- It can be given a plan consisting of sequence of named locations for the robot to go to in turn.
- The robot must avoid obstacles.
- It has a single whisker sensor pointing forward and to the right. The robot can detect if the whisker hits an object. The robot knows where it is.
- The obstacles and locations can be moved dynamically. Obstacles and new locations can be created dynamically.
A Decomposition of the Delivery Robot

- Plan: follow plan
- Environment: steer robot, report obstacles and position
- Delivery Robot: go to target and avoid obstacles
- Target Position:
  - Arrived
  - Timeout
  - Target Position
- Robot Position: rob_pos, rob_dir, whisker, crashed
- To Do: plan, target_pos
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Middle Layer of the Delivery Robot

given \texttt{timeout} and \texttt{target\_pos}:

\begin{align*}
\texttt{remaining} & := \texttt{timeout} \\
\text{while not } \texttt{arrived()} \text{ and } \texttt{remaining} & \neq 0 \\
\text{if } \texttt{whisker\_sensor} & = \texttt{on} \\
\text{then } \texttt{steer} & := \texttt{left} \\
\text{else if } \texttt{straight\_ahead(rob\_pos, robot\_dir, target\_pos)} & \text{ then } \texttt{steer} := \texttt{straight} \\
\text{else if } \texttt{left\_of(rob\_pos, robot\_dir, target\_pos)} & \text{ then } \texttt{steer} := \texttt{left} \\
\text{else } \texttt{steer} & := \texttt{right} \\
\text{do(steer)} \\
\texttt{remaining} & := \texttt{remaining} - 1 \\
tell \text{ upper layer } \texttt{arrived()} 
\end{align*}
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The top layer tells the middle layer the goal position of the current location.
It has to remember the current goal position and the locations still to visit.
When the middle layer reports the robot has arrived, the top layer takes the next location from the list of positions to visit, and there is a new goal position.
Top Layer
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given \textit{plan}:

\begin{align*}
\text{to}\_\text{do} & := \text{plan} \\
\text{timeout} & := 200 \\
\text{while not empty}(\text{to}\_\text{do}) & \\
\text{target}\_\text{pos} & := \text{coordinates}(\text{first}(\text{to}\_\text{do})) \\
\text{do}(\text{timeout}, \text{target}\_\text{pos}) & \\
\text{to}\_\text{do} & := \text{rest}(\text{to}\_\text{do})
\end{align*}
Simulation of the Robot

to\_do = [goto(o109), goto(storage), goto(o109),
goto(o103)]

arrived = true
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What should be in an agent’s belief state?

- An agent decides what to do based on its belief state and what it observes.
- A purely reactive agent doesn’t have a belief state. A dead reckoning agent doesn’t perceive the world. — neither work very well in complicated domains.
- It is often useful for the agent’s belief state to be a model of the world (itself and the environment).